1	ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the publi	ic
2	and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).	
3		
4	SO STIPULATED:	
5	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
6	4/17/2008 /s/ Derek Owens	
7 8	DATED: DEREK R. OWENS Assistant United States Attorney	
9 10	4/18/2008 /s/ Jodi Linker DATED:	
11	JODI LINKER Attorney for Mr. Castillo-Chun	
12	As the Court found on March 27, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds th	at
13	an exclusion of time between March 27, 2008 through April 17, 2008, is warranted and that the	
14	ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the	,
15	defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested	
16	continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation	
17	taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice.	,
18	See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
19		
20	SO ORDERED.	
21		
22 23		
24	DATED: THE HONORABLE JOSEPH C. SPERO	
25	United States Magistrate Court Judge	
26		
27		
28		
	STIP. AND ORDER CR 08-0190 JSW 2	